

File

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/446,913	Applicant(s) Ono et al.		
	Examiner Anne-Marie Baker	, Ph.D.	Art Unit 1632	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):			
(1) <u>Anne-Marie Baker, Ph.D.</u>	(3) Vincent Shei	ir		
(2) Vincent Liptak	(4)			
Date of Interview Sep 10, 2002	-			
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) applicant's rep	resentativ	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	e) X No. If yes, brid	ef descript	ion:	
Claim(s) discussed: 1-4				
Identification of prior art discussed:	•			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	. a)□ was not read	hed. h	√ N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general		,		s reached or
any other comments:	_			1
Generally discussed the enal		a Wr u SDE	· //	ription
	nts beyond	SEO	ID No. 1	
the present claims.	s consider	and	passibly	anend
	Visuality of the Control of the Cont			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no o	lments which the example of the amendment of the amendment of the amendment of the amendment of the control of	miner agre	ed would render the clair	e claims ns allowable is
available, a summary thereof must be attached.)				

i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required